



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,164	05/31/2001	Daniel Fishman	2378/106	8770
2101	7590	06/10/2005	EXAMINER	
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP			MIRZA, ADNAN M	
125 SUMMER STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			2145	
DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/872,164	FISHMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Adnan M. Mirza	2145	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 December 2004.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/13/2005.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Chow et al (U.S. 6,226,693).

As per claims 1,10,14 Chow disclosed a method for scheduling an event over a network in a calender of an invitee, the event having a set of details provided by an event creator, the method comprising: creating a schedule request including at least the set of details and an identifier for the event creator (col. 7, lines 8-25); sending the schedule request to a server in communication with the network, the server having access to the calendar of the invitee and a calendar for the

event creator (col. 7, lines 45-63); creating an event record at the server, the event record including at least the set of details and a link to the calendar of the invitee; and adding the event to the invitee's calendar (col. 8, lines 33-46).

3. As per claims 2,12,16 Chow disclosed further including; creating a link from the event record to the event creator's calendar; and adding the event to the event creator's calendar (col. 4, lines 42-58).

4. As per claims 3,13 Chow disclosed wherein the network is the Internet (col. 4, lines 16-29).

6. As per claim 4 Chow disclosed wherein the invitee's calendar, the event creator's calendar and the event record are stored in a database in communication with the server (col. 5, lines 38-49).

7. As per claims 5,11,15 Chow disclosed wherein the schedule request is a hypertext transfer protocol request (col. 4, lines 17-29).

8. As per claim 6 Chow disclosed wherein the invitee's calendar is part of a personal information management system (col. 6, lines 48-57).

9. As per claims 7,17 Chow disclosed wherein the event creator changes at least one member of the set of details for the event, the method further including: updating the event record with the changed set of details; and updating the event in the invitee's calendar with the changed set of details using the link between the event record and the invitee's calendar (col. 7, lines 45-62).

10. As per claims 8,18 Chow disclosed further including sending a notification message to the invitee including the changed set of details (col. 7, lines 7-25).

11. As per claim 9 Chow disclosed wherein the schedule is created using a link associated with the event (col. 8, lines 48-57).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/02/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Response to applicant's arguments is as follows.

12. Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose, "An event similar to the one disclosed in the independent claims".

As to applicant's argument Chow disclosed, "Event manager registers call backs from objects 1-N by receiving an object identification, Object ID, an event, and an action to be taken

CallBackData. An object such as object registers to event manager local event and CallBackData. The CallBackData contains information about the method for that object or function to call and the data to be passed with the method/function call. The “ObjectID” may or may not be the ID of the object that is registering for the call back” (col. 7, lines 10-25). One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention interpret the event as action or process takes as a result of certain command or in reaction to other events therefore there is no difference in the even as described by Chow and as it has been disclosed by the applicant.

13. Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose, “A calendar of an invitee, or to adding a link to the calendar of the invitee”.

As to applicant’s argument Chow disclosed, “Figure depicts a registry table, also called a hash table, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Hash table includes entries for the object ID, event, and a pointer to the list. A list is the collection of nodes linked in a sequence” (col. 7, lines 45-49). A mapping table is a table that is specific to each platform. Two columns are present in the table in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention (col. 8, lines 21-24). One ordinary skill in the art of the invention define the calendar as collection of the tables or list that has different columns or fields to organize the information or data according to the certain criteria ranging from based on dates and calendar years to Object Identification.

Conclusion

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.

16. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin Wallace can be reached on (571)-272-6159. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239.

17. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7239 (For Status Inquiries, Informal or Draft Communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT");

(703)-746-7239 (For Official Communications Intended for entry, please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"),

(703)-746-7238 (For After Final Communications).

18. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

Any response to a final action should be mailed to:

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C.20231

Or faxed to:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 4th Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

Am
Adnan Mirza

Examiner

V. Martin Wallace
VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER